quality of data and many hydrogen positions, fixed geometrically, were not refined. The final (shift/ e.s.d $)_{\text {ave }}=0.033$ and (shift/e.s.d. $)_{\max }=0.097$. A final difference Fourier showed no peaks $\geq 0.3 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. Scattering factors for non-hydrogen atoms were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1968) and for hydrogens from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965).

Discussion. The final fractional coordinates with equivalent temperature factors of non-hydrogens are given in Table 1. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability level for non-hydrogens using ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) are shown in Fig. 1.*
The bond lengths and angles involving nonhydrogen atoms are shown in Figs. $2(a)$ and 2(b) respectively. The e.s.d.'s in bond lengths are $0.010 \AA$ and in bond angles $0 \cdot 5^{\circ}$. The bond lengths and angles in the two benzofuran ring systems are comparable with those in similar structures.
The torsion angles around the two furan rings $B$ and $C$ show that they are in envelope conformation with $C_{s}=1.39$ and 0.80 respectively (Duax, Weeks \& Rohrer, 1976). In both cases, the envelope atom C9 is out of the plane of the other four atoms in the furan rings $B$ and $C$ by 0.2 and $0.16 \AA$ respectively. The two benzofuran moieties are planar. The angle between the planes of the two furan rings is $66.5^{\circ}$. This compares with $64.8^{\circ}$ in a dihydrobenzofuro-[3,2-b]benzofuran derivative (Wong \& Jurd, 1984).

[^0]The two methyl carbons Cl and C 16 are in the plane of the benzene rings to which they are attached. The torsion angle $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 18$, $-13.9(1)^{\circ}$, shows that C17 and C18 are cis with respect to the $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ bond.
A stereoview of the unit-cell packing (Motherwell, 1978) is shown in Fig. 3. The molecules are stabilized in the unit cell by van der Waals interactions.

The authors thank Dr K. K. Balasubramanian, Chemistry Department, IIT, Madras, for the compound. One of the authors, MH, thanks CSIR, New Delhi for financial support.
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#### Abstract

Phenylthiosemicarbazono)ethyl]-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-yl\}-5-heptenoic acid, $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, M_{r}=413 \cdot 7$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / a, a=12.9650$ (7), $b$


0108-2701/90/030447-04\$03.00

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =11 \cdot 2081(6), c=16.8941(12) \AA, \beta=110.452(5)^{\circ}, V \\
& =2300 \cdot 2 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=1 \cdot 194 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mathrm{Mo} K \alpha, \lambda= \\
& 0.71073 \AA, \mu=1.55 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=888, T=298 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

Final $R=0.0472$ with 2778 independent data. EP 092 is a thromboxane receptor antagonist akin to many other analogues of the thromboxane $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ [Wilson \& Jones (1985). Adv. Prostaglandin Thromboxane Leukotrine Res. 14, 393-425].

Introduction. EP 092, ( $\pm 5$ )-endo-( $6^{\prime}$-carboxyhex- $2^{\prime} Z$ -enyl)-6-exo-\{1-[( $N$-phenylthiocarbamoyl)hydrazono]ethyl\}bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane, is a prostaglandin analogue. It acts as an antagonist to thromboxane $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ ) receptors (i.e. it is a ligand that binds tightly to the receptor, but fails to elicit an overt response thereby blocking the action of the natural agonist), which are involved in the control of vasoconstriction and platelet aggregation. The structure of EP 092 can be seen in the scheme where it is compared with the prostaglandin, thromboxane $\mathrm{A}_{2}$.



TXA ${ }_{2}$
Experimental. Crystals were obtained as columns from ethanol at 243 K . A suitable crystal of dimensions $0.5 \times 0.45 \times 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ was selected and characterized by Weissenberg photography. The data set was collected on a Stoe STADI-4 diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda$ $=0.71073 \AA$ ). The orientation matrix used for data collection was derived from 11 reflections with $32 \leq$ $2 \theta \leq 33^{\circ}$, whilst accurate unit-cell dimensions were determined using 41 reflections measured at $+\omega$ in the same $2 \theta$ scan range. The range of indices collected was $-13 \leq h \leq 13,0 \leq k \leq 12,0 \leq l \leq 17$ and the standard reflections $\overline{8}, 1,11, \overline{5} 69$ and 284 indicated no significant crystal movements or decay during the period of data collection.

A trial position for the $S$ atom was found from a Patterson map, but a difference Fourier map calculated using this sulfur was uninterpretable. A direct-methods approach using SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986) located all non-H atoms. These
atoms were refined anisotropically before the addition of H atoms, which were added in their calculated positions and allowed to ride on the atoms to which they were attached, with fixed isotropic temperature factors and site occupancies. The maximum atom shift $(\Delta / \sigma)$ in the final run was 0.036 . The standard SHELX weighting scheme was applied but proved to be no better than unit weights, which were therefore adopted.

During data collection 3198 reflections were measured, of which 2778 were used in refinement. 420 reflections with $I<2 \sigma$ were considered unobserved. The total number of parameters refined was 265 and the final $R$ factor was 0.0472 . The final difference map had maximum and minimum values of +0.21 and -0.28 e $\AA^{-3}$ respectively and the maximum $\sin \theta / \lambda$ was $0.538 \AA^{-1}$.

The program CALC (Gould \& Taylor, 1983) was used to provide the molecular geometry data presented in Table 2. Diagrams were prepared using PLUTO (Motherwell, 1972) and an interactive version of ORTEP (Johnson, 1965; Mallinson \& Muir, 1985). Atomic scattering factors were from International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography (1974). The final atomic parameters are given in Table 1.*

Discussion. The crystal structure of EP 092 is shown in Fig. 1. Table 2 lists bond lengths, bond angles and torsion angles. The bond lengths and torsion angles of EP 092 were compared with those of thromboxane $\mathrm{B}_{2}\left(\mathrm{TXB}_{2}\right.$; Fortier, Erman, Langs \& DeTitta, 1980), for which a crystal structure exists. $\mathrm{TXB}_{2}$ differs from $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ in the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at the anomeric carbon which converts the acetal of TXA $_{2}$ into a hemiacetal. The torsion angle about $C(4)-C(5)$ showed a difference of $164^{\circ}$. Superimposing the atoms $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ shows a torsion angle change of $90^{\circ}$ about the $C(7)-C(8)$ bond. Further, the torsion angle about the $C(12)-C(13)$ bond differs by $110^{\circ}$ which superimposes the methyl group, $\mathrm{C}(13 A)$, approximately upon the $\mathrm{TXB}_{2}$ arm. These differences appear to result from the different ring structures, although the crystal packing may also have an effect. A comparison was also made between the bridged ring of the EP 092 and the two occurrences of the same bicyclo moiety in 1-bromo-3-[3-(2-methylenecyclohex-5-en-1-yl)bicyclo[2.2.1]-hept-2-yl]-4-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ylbenzene (Bocelli, Catellani \& Chiusoli, 1984). No significant differences were found between these rigid structures. The

[^1]Table 1. Fractional coordinates of atoms, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| $U_{\text {eq }}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}{ }^{*} a_{j}{ }^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| C(1) | 0.93623 (25) | 0.8608 (3) | -0.11108 (18) | 0.0686 (21) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.96601 (20) | 0.76023 (19) | -0.11369 (15) | 0.0976 (19) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 0.96605 (24) | 0.94913 (21) | -0.14913 (18) | 0.1181 (23) |
| C(2) | 0.86217 (25) | 0.9001 (3) | -0.06505 (19) | 0.0730 (21) |
| C(3) | 0.8319 (3) | 0.8032 (3) | -0.01590 (19) | 0.0754 (22) |
| C(4) | 0.7564 (3) | 0.8477 (3) | 0.02980 (19) | 0.0788 (23) |
| C(5) | 0.71328 (25) | 0.7483 (3) | 0.06771 (21) | 0.0778 (24) |
| C(6) | 0.73448 (23) | 0.7250 (3) | 0.14805 (20) | 0.0676 (21) |
| C(7) | 0.80924 (22) | 0.79234 (23) | $0 \cdot 22276$ (17) | 0.0616 (19) |
| C(8) | 0.89218 (21) | 0.70838 (22) | $0 \cdot 28517$ (16) | 0.0552 (17) |
| C(9) | 0.95602 (25) | 0.76060 (24) | $0 \cdot 37282$ (17) | 0.0679 (20) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 A)$ | 1.0200 (3) | 0.87260 (25) | $0 \cdot 36632$ (19) | 0.0724 (21) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 B)$ | $1 \cdot 1132$ (3) | 0.82250 (25) | $0 \cdot 33732$ (20) | 0.0738 (22) |
| C(10) | 1.04827 (24) | 0.6687 (3) | 0.40503 (18) | 0.0690 (20) |
| C(11) | 1.09069 (22) | 0.68749 (24) | 0.33220 (17) | 0.0611 (19) |
| C(12) | 0.98492 (20) | 0.66579 (21) | $0 \cdot 25369$ (16) | 0.0522 (17) |
| C(13) | 0.97873 (20) | 0.53800 (22) | $0 \cdot 22329$ (16) | 0.0516 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13 A)$ | 1.04104 (25) | 0.5098 (3) | $0 \cdot 16604$ (20) | 0.0791 (23) |
| $\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 0.92345 (17) | $0 \cdot 46360$ (17) | 0.24950 (13) | 0.0549 (14) |
| $\mathrm{N}(15)$ | 0.91968 (17) | $0 \cdot 34518$ (17) | $0 \cdot 22320$ (14) | 0.0563 (15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0.86267 (21) | $0 \cdot 26705$ (22) | 0.25296 (17) | 0.0539 (17) |
| S(16) | 0.84902 (7) | $0 \cdot 12425$ (6) | $0 \cdot 22061$ (5) | 0.0684 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(17)$ | 0.81777 (18) | 0.31414 (19) | $0 \cdot 30642$ (14) | 0.0652 (16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 0.75602 (22) | 0.25938 (23) | 0.35081 (16) | 0.0564 (18) |
| C(19) | 0.67541 (24) | 0.3273 (3) | 0.36499 (18) | 0.0716 (21) |
| C(20) | 0.6159 (3) | 0.2816 (4) | 0.41169 (21) | 0.086 (3) |
| C(21) | 0.6349 (3) | 0.1684 (4) | 0.44292 (20) | 0.086 (3) |
| C(22) | 0.7159 (3) | 0.1008 (3) | $0 \cdot 43010$ (19) | 0.0805 (24) |
| C(23) | 0.77782 (25) | 0.14514 (25) | 0.38406 (18) | 0.0684 (20) |



Fig. 1. EP 092. Non-H atoms are shown as $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids.
program CALC (Gould \& Taylor, 1983) showed that all atoms of the thiocarbamoyl arm were planar with an r.m.s. deviation of $0.015 \AA$ and the greatest deviation from the plane was $0.025 \AA$ for the $\mathrm{N}(14)$ atom. Fig. 2 shows a stereodiagram of the molecular packing from which it can be seen that the benzene ring approaches $\mathrm{C}(9 A)$ and $\mathrm{C}(9 B)$ of the bicycloheptane moiety. The molecules arrange themselves as dimers across an inversion centre and are stabilized by hydrophobic interactions and by two hydrogen bonds. These occur by donation of the H atom on $\mathrm{N}(15)$ to the carboxyl oxygen, $\mathrm{O}(1)$, and of the H atom on the other carboxylic acid oxygen $\mathrm{O}(1 A)$ to $\mathrm{S}(16)$. The associated bond distances and angles

Table 2. Bondlengths $(\AA)$, angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, geometry $\left(\AA\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ around hydrogen bonds and selected torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \quad 1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 197$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12) \quad 1.55$ | 1.558 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 A) \quad 1.310$ | $1 \cdot 310$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13) \quad 1.51$ | 1.514 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \quad 1.4$ | 1.497 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(13 A) \quad 1.49$ | 1.495 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 1.500$ | 1.500 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(14) \quad 1.27$ | 1.276 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad 1.52$ | 1.527 (5) | $\mathrm{N}(14)-\mathrm{N}(15) \quad 1.395$ | 1.395 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5) \quad 1.48$ | 1.488 (5) | $\mathrm{N}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16) \quad 1.35$ | 1.351 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6) \quad 1.3$ | $1 \cdot 313$ (5) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{S}(16) \quad 1.68$ | 1.681 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7) \quad 1.4$ | 1.499 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{N}(17) \quad 1.34$ | 1.342 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad 1.537$ | 1.537 (4) | $\mathrm{N}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18) \quad 1.41$ | 1.414 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9) \quad 1.53$ | 1.538 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19) \quad 1.38$ | 1.380 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(12) \quad 1.55$ | $1-550$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23) \quad 1.38$ | 1.387 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 A) \quad 1.53$ | 1.530 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20) \quad 1.38$ | 1.381 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10) \quad 1.5$ | 1.528 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21) \quad 1.36$ | 1.362 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 A)-\mathrm{C}(9 B) \quad 1.55$ | 1.558 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22) \quad 1.37$ | 1.372 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 B)-\mathrm{C}(11) \quad 1.53$ | 1.538 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23) \quad 1.39$ | $1 \cdot 390$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11) \quad 1.5$ | 1.528 (4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 122.6 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 102.55 (20) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 124.7 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 116.88 (21) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 A)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 112.7 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 111.28 (21) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 114.4 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(13 A)$ | 116.31 (23) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 112.4 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 118.05 (23) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $112 \cdot 1$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(13 A)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 125.62 (25) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 128.2 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(14)-\mathrm{N}(15)$ | 118.22 (21) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 127.6 (3) | $\mathrm{N}(14)-\mathrm{N}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 117.45 (21) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $111 \cdot 18$ (23) | $\mathrm{N}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | $120 \cdot 36$ (20) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $116 \cdot 10$ (23) | $\mathrm{N}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{N}(17)$ | 114.70 (24) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 113.20 (21) | $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{N}(17)$ | 124.91 (21) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 103.10 (21) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{N}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $130 \cdot 20$ (23) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 A)$ | 111.48 (24) | $\mathrm{N}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 117.14 (25) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 100.21 (23) | $\mathrm{N}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 122.85 (25) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 A)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | ) 101.67 (24) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 119.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 A)-\mathrm{C}(9 B)$ | ) 103.08 (24) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $120 \cdot 2$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 A)-\mathrm{C}(9 B)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1) $102 \cdot 69$ (24) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $120 \cdot 3$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 94.44 (23) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 119.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 B)-\mathrm{C}(1!)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0) $101 \cdot 84$ (23) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 120.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 B)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 2) $107 \cdot 14$ (23) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 118.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | ) 101.92 (22) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 A)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | $3 \cdot 147$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(151)$ | 132.76 (24) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 / A 1)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | $2 \cdot 26$ (3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(151)-\mathrm{N}(15)$ | 169.90 (22) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(15)$ | 2.989 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(1 A)-\mathrm{H}(1 / 1)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 168.6 (23) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(151)$ | 1.919 (3) | $\mathrm{H}(1 A 1-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $109 \cdot 0$ (7) |



Fig. 2. Stereopacking of EP 092, $b$-axis projection.
(Table 2) are in good agreement with tabulated values (Allen, Kennard, Watson, Brammer, Orpen \& Taylor, 1987).

It is not clear why the dramatic effect on the thromboxane receptor arises from this structure
when it is compared with that of thromboxane $\mathbf{B}_{2}$. The difference of the torsion angle between the bicycloheptane and the carboxyl arm confers no extra stability in the free molecule and may simply be the result of the hydrogen bonding. Clarification of the mode of action of these molecules must await the structure of the receptor.

We thank SERC for financial support, Drs R. L. Jones and N. H. Wilson for supplying the crystals.
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# Structure of 9-Chloro-7-(2-chlorophenyl)-3,5-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazino[4,3-a][1,4]-benzodiazepin-2(1H)-one* 
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#### Abstract

C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}, M_{r}=359 \cdot 2\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a$ $=8.777$ (8),$\quad b=12.715$ (4), $\quad c=14.883$ (4) $\AA, \quad \alpha=$ 95.69 (2), $\quad \beta=83.62(3), \quad \gamma=93.46(3)^{\circ}, \quad V=$ $1640.5 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=1.454 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)=$ $0.71069 \AA, \mu=3.99 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=736, T=293 \mathrm{~K}$, $R=0.039$ for 2783 observed reflections. The sevenmembered heterocyclic ring has a cycloheptatrienelike boat conformation with bow and stern angles $60.5(8)$ and $33.8(8)^{\circ}$, and $59.8(8)$ and $35.9(8)^{\circ}$, respectively, in the two independent molecules. The triazino ring is near planar in both molecules. The angles between the 7-phenyl ring and the fused benzo moiety are $88.9(8)$ and $82.0(8)^{\circ}$. Corresponding bond lengths and angles in the two molecules are generally similar and agree well with accepted values.


Introduction. The title compound (Szmuszkovicz, 1973) is related to the classical psychoactive 5-phenyl-1,4-benzodiazepin-2-ones such as diazepam, but differs from them in containing a six-membered hetero ring fused across the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond of the

[^2]0108-2701/90/030450-04\$03.00
parent system. Many of these diazepam derivatives exhibit a high degree of biological activity and the present compound binds to the benzodiazepine receptor in vitro about eight times more strongly than diazepam.

Experimental. Crystals were grown from amyl acetate. A crystal of size $0.3 \times 0.4 \times 0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer and cell dimensions determined from the setting angles of 25 reflections in the range $10<\theta<16^{\circ}$. Intensity data were measured with graphitemonochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. 5121 unique reflections were scanned by $\omega-2 \theta$ scans up to $\theta=$ $24^{\circ}, 2783[I>2 \cdot 5 \sigma(I)]$ reflections were considered observed and used in the analysis, index range $h-9$ to $10, k-14$ to $14, l 0$ to 16 . Two standard reflections measured every 2 h showed no significant variation in intensity. No absorption corrections were applied.

The structure was determined by direct methods and refined by least squares using anisotropic thermal parameters for the heavier atoms. H atoms were initially located from a difference synthesis and then


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H -atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52300 ( 18 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with ther British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52379 (19 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

[^2]:    * Contribution from the Crystallography Unit, Universities of Aston and Birmingham.

